## 4736 Decision Mathematics 1

| TO BE ANSWERED ON INSERT |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 (i) | Path: $\quad A-B-C-D-E-F$ <br> Weight: 9 | M1 <br> A1 <br> B1 <br> B1 <br> B1 | Evidence of updating at $C, D, E$ or $F$ <br> All temporary labels correct, with no extras <br> All permanent labels correct | [5] |
| (ii) | Total weight of all arcs $=25$ <br> Only odd nodes are $B$ and $E$. Least weight path joining $B$ to $E$ is $B-C-E=3$. <br> Weight: 28 <br> Route: (example) $A-B-D-F-E-C-B-C-D-E-D-C-A$ | B1 <br> M1 <br> A1 <br> B1 | Total weight $=25$ <br> (may be implied from weight) <br> $B$ to $E=3$ <br> 28 (cao) <br> A valid closed route that uses $B C, C D$ and $D E$ twice and all other arcs once | [4] |
| (iii) | $A-B-E-F$ <br> Graph is now Eulerian, so no need to repeat arcs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | cao <br> Eulerian (or equivalent) | [2] |
|  |  |  | Total $=$ | 11 |


| 2 | (i) | A graph cannot have an odd number of odd vertices (nodes) | B1 | Or equivalent (eg $3 \times 5=15 \Rightarrow 71 / 2$ arcs) Not from a diagram of a specific case | [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (ii) | It has exactly two odd nodes eg CABCDEAD | $\begin{aligned} & \text { B1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | 2 odd nodes <br> A valid semi-Eulerian trail | [2] |
|  | (iii) | $\begin{aligned} & \hline A E=2 \\ & A C=3 \\ & A B=5 \\ & C D=7 \end{aligned}$ <br> Weight $=17$ | B1 <br> B1 <br> B1 | Correct tree (vertices must be labelled) <br> Order of choosing arcs in a valid application of Prim, starting at $A$ (working shown on a network or matrix) 17 | [3] |
|  | (iv) | Lower bound = 29 $\begin{aligned} & A-E-D-F-C-B-A \\ & =34 \\ & F-C-A-E-D \text { and } F-D-C-A-E \end{aligned}$ <br> Vertex $B$ is missed out | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { B1 } \\ & \text { M1 } \\ & \text { A1 } \\ & \text { B1 } \end{aligned}$ | 29 or 12 + their tree weight from (iii) $A-E-D-F-C-$ <br> 34 , from correct working seen Correctly explaining why method fails, need to have explicitly considered both cases | [4] |
| Total = 10 |  |  |  |  |  |

For reference
(ii)

(iii) (iv)

|  | $A$ | $B$ | $C$ | $D$ | $E$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | - | 5 | 3 | 8 | 2 |
| $B$ | 5 | - | 6 | - | - |
| $C$ | 3 | 6 | - | 7 | - |
| $D$ | 8 | - | 7 | - | 9 |
| $E$ | 2 | - | - | 9 | - |



\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline 3 (i) \& \(x=\) number of clients who use program \(X\) \(y=\) number of clients who use program \(Y\) \& B1 \& Number of clients on \(X\) and \(Y\), respectively \& [1] \\
\hline (ii) \& \begin{tabular}{ll} 
Spin cycle: \& \(30 x+10 y \leq 180\) \\
\& \(\Rightarrow 3 x+y \leq 18\) \\
Rower: \& \(10 x \leq 40\) \\
\& \(\Rightarrow x \leq 4\) \\
Free weights: \& \(20 x+30 y \leq 300\) \\
\& \(\Rightarrow 2 x+3 y \leq 30\)
\end{tabular} \& \begin{tabular}{l}
B1 \\
B1 \\
B1
\end{tabular} \& \(3 x+y \leq 18\), or equivalent, simplified \(x \leq 4\), or equivalent, simplified \(2 x+3 y \leq 30\), or equivalent, simplified Allow use of slack variables instead of inequalities \& [3] \\
\hline (iii) \& Both must take non-negative integer values \& B1 \& \begin{tabular}{l}
Non-negative and integer \\
Accept \(x+y \leq 12\) as an alternative answer
\end{tabular} \& [1] \\
\hline (iv) \& \begin{tabular}{l}
 \\
Checking vertices or using a profit line
\[
\begin{aligned}
\& (4,6) \rightarrow 72 \\
\& \left(3 \frac{3}{7}, 7 \frac{5}{7}\right) \rightarrow 77 \frac{1}{7} \text { or }(24 / 7,54 / 7) \rightarrow 77 \frac{1}{7} \\
\& (0,10) \rightarrow 60 \quad(4,0) \rightarrow 36
\end{aligned}
\] \\
Checking other feasible integer points near (non-integer) optimum for continuous problem
\[
(3,8) \rightarrow 75
\] \\
Put 3 clients on program \(X, 8\) on program \(Y\) and 1 on program \(Z\)
\end{tabular} \& B1
M1
A1

M1
M1

A1 \& | Axes scaled and labelled appropriately (on graph paper) |
| :--- |
| Boundaries of their three constraints shown correctly (non-negativity may be missed) |
| Correct graph with correct shading or feasible region correct and clearly identified (non-negativity may be missed) (cao) |
| Follow through their graph if possible $x=3.4, y=7.7$ |
| may be implied from $(3,8)$ |
| Could be implied from identifying point $(3,8)$ in any form |
| cao, in context and including program Z | \& [3] <br>

\hline
\end{tabular}



| For reference | Item type | A | B | C | D |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number to be packed | 15 | 8 | 3 | 4 |
|  | Length (cm) | 10 | 40 | 20 | 10 |
|  | Width (cm) | 10 | 30 | 50 | 40 |
|  | Height (cm) | 10 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
|  | Volume ( $\mathrm{cm}^{3}$ ) | 1000 | 24000 | 10000 | 4000 |
|  | Weight (g) | 1000 | 250 | 300 | 400 |




